Approved Fer Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000400180039-9

THE CAMBODIA DILEMMA

Senators Seek U.S. Policy Limits...

By JAMES DOYLE Star Staff Writer

The leading members of the Senate's foreign policy establishment are convinced that the United States is walking into another Asian bottomless pit in Cambodia. This week they will begin the most far-reaching effort yet to control U.S. policy there before it develops.

sweeping anti-war amendments and fund cut-off proposals are part of the effort. But for the first time those who oppose further military commitments in Southeast Asia have come up with a series of specific, carefully-worded restrictions on U.S. activities which, if passed, would do much to return congressional partnership to the conduct of foreign policy.

"We are getting out of South Vietnam," says Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield of Montana, "but it looks

like we are getting into Cambodia.

"It appears to me that the old pattern is perhaps being repeated; that the handwriting is on the wall for another Vietnam, despite all disclaimers to the contrary.

watched with increasing discomfort as U.S. military and economic aid has multiplied.

Last week a prominent dove leaked to The New York Times a memorandum from the Joint Chiefs of Staff to Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird which spelled out a proposed five-year program of pacification. "psychological operations" and "unconventional warfare" for Cambodia, and listed the ways to fund such a program by diverting appropriations from other areas, masking military aid as economic assistance and declaring large amounts of military equipment "excess" so that it could be diverted to Cambodia.

The memorandum called for doubling U.S. expenditures by 1977 to a level of \$500 million a

Capitol Hill sources admit that the memorandum was never agreed to by Laird, and that both the State Department and Henry Kissinger's National Security Council Staff apparently opposed the plan.

But its disclosure accelerated an effort within the Foreign Relations Committee to set stringent limits on future aid to Cambodia.

Aid Bill Provision

Senators Stuart Symington, D-Mo., and Clifford Case, R-N.J., added a provision to the foreign aid bill last week that would limit money to Cambodia in fiscal 1972 to an absolute ceiling of \$250 million for all military and economic assistance.

The expenditures would have to be accounted for in such great detail that State Department officials complained privately the procedure might be both unmanageable and dangerous to national security.

A second part of the Symington-Case amendment would limit to 200 the number of American personnel who can operate in Cambodia, and would allow for funds to pay only 50 third-country nationals employed in Cambodia by the U.S. Government.

The bill states explicitly that no more than \$250 million from any government source can be spent "to carry out directly or indirectly any economic or military assistance, or any operation project, or program of any kind, or for providing any goods, supplies, materials, equipment, services, personnel, or advisers, in to for or on behalf of Cambodia."

During a 1969 visit to Cambodia, Mansfield found only two Americans at the embassy in Phnom Penh. When Prince Norodom Sibanouk was overthrown in 1970, there were 11 Americans there. Now, Mansfield says, "There are upwards of 150 and the trend is up."

Many of Mansfield's Senate colleagues do not believe the United States would make a massive commitment of American air and ground force to Cambodia, as it did in Vietnam.

But to many of them, Cambodia looks like a trap that could drain financial and political resources from the United States for years, preventing the kind of decisive disengagement from Indochina that Senate doves believe to be a necessity.

The Cambodia question has been simmering beneath the surface in the Senate for more than a year, since the Nixon administration began a rapid increase of aid to the Lon Nol government after the U.S. invasion of Communist sanctuaries in the spring of 1970.

Many members of the Foreign Relations Committee have See SENATE, Page A-7

A subsection states that in computing the \$250 million limitation, the value of any goods provided must be listed at "fair market value and in no case less than one-third of acquisition cost."

State Department officials first learned of the Cambodia limitations from the newspapers, and the administration appears to have been caught

off guard.
Department officials said yesterday they did not know whether the administration would make a major effort on the floor of the Senate to remove the limitations from the bill, although they acknowledge that an intense effort could be expected in a House-Senate conference committee.

Administration objections are many. The ceiling would represent a 40 percent cut in planned aid to Cambodia. The strict accounting called for could be interpreted, one official noted, to include the state Deprtment's internal budget cial noted, to include the State for Washington officials assigned to Cambodian affairs and even the cost of airplane rides to Cambodia by sightseeing members of Congress.

More significant, the cost breakdown was interpreted as requiring the Central Intelligence Agency to report is expenditures — both for military and intelligence operations to the Congress as a whole,

Worst Moment

From the administration's point of view, Senate doves have chosen the worst possible moment to attempt remotecontrol cost accounting of the Indochina War.

As more and more U.S. troops depart from Vietnam, the five North Vietnamese and Viet Cong divisions in Cambodia become an increasing threat which needs to be countered with more, not less, U.S. aid to the growing Cambodian army.

Senate opponents do not deny the strategic importance of the Cambodian border areas or the pressure that could be exerted there by the North Vietnamese.

But they believe the administration should closely consult Congress every step of the way in order to resist the temptation to pour massive resources into Cambodia as a way of diverting the attention of the enemy and U.S. critics from Vietnam.

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA:RDR73B00296R069400160039-9

the Senate and House.

\$250 Million Ceiling Sought

ambodia Aid Limit Pushed

By Spencer Rich Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee voted 9 to 4 yesterday to clamp an absolute limit of \$250 million on all forms of U.S. military and economic assistance to Cambodia in Fiscal 1972.

The proviso was added to

the foreign aid bill on the motion of Sens. Clifford P. Case (R-N.J.) and Stuart Symington (D-Mo.).

Case said it is intended to prevent an "indefinite escalation" of U.S. outlays for Cambodia. The Fiscal 1971 aid level is also about \$250 million, but the administration had sought \$341 million for on the Case-Symington amend-Fiscal 1972.

ment puts a ceiling of 200 on the number of U.S. personnel in Cambodia to prevent a buildup of the U.S. military equipment aid group. U.S. personnel there now number 150.

Still a third part of the amendment limits the number of third-country nationals who may be paid from U.S. aid funds to 50. This is designed to prevent use of U.S. funds to hire radio operators, mechanics and supply clerks from such nations as Thailand, the Philippines and Korea. No such personnel are there now, but sources said there were plans to hire 100 or more.

Before the vote was taken ment, sponsors accepted addi-

A second part of the amend-tional wording by Sen. Jacob nent puts a ceiling of 200 on K. Javits (R-N.Y.) stating that the provision of military and economic assistance to Cambodia, within the limits imposed, does not constitute a U.S. commitment to the defense of that country.

The Case-Symington measure originally imposed a \$200 million aid limit and a 150-man ceiling on U.S. personnel. But Case said the figures were raised in committee to indicate that "this wasn't intended to be a sharp reduction of existing programs, but a limit on indefinite escalation" to give Congress time to study how far the United States should go in supporting the war in Cambodia.

See AID, A13, Col. 1



AID, From A1

was particularly timely in military equipment. view of reports that the Joint Chiefs of Staff had advised closed all loopholes against Defense Secretary Melvin R. any such shifts. Laird, at a Laird that "to bring about a military solution in Cambodia," U.S. military aid would have to rise to more than 500 have to rise to more than \$500 million by 1977.

According to congressional sources, the Joint Chiefs advised Laird that the number of regular Cambodian troops now at 180,000 a year ago would have to jump to 300,000 by 1977 and paramilitary forces to 500,000 by then.

The reports said that the Joint Chiefs considered the \$211 million military assistance portion of the administration's total request for 1972 of \$351 million too small, and had devised plans for shifting \$52 million from other sources to the military aid program.

This was intended to boost the regular Cambodian army to 220,000 men by the end of the year and the "paramilidraw Cambodia into the war, tary" forces to 143,000. The reports said the JCS had devised chaos and devastation.'

various methods of transferring funds from one account Case said his amendment to another or of using "excess"

> Case said his amendment did say no aid for Cambodia beyond the \$341 million already requested would be sought this year.

Like an earlier Symington amendment to the military procurement bill limiting Laos aid to \$350 million, yesterday's Cambodia provisos cover only military and economic assistance and do not restrict spending for U.S. air missions flown over Cambodia.

Before the vote, Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield (D-Mont.) said in a floor speech that before the Sihanouk government was overthrown, Cambodia was "an oasis of order in war-torn In-dochina." But now, because the United States had helped

SENATE UNIT VOT \$250-MILLION LIN ON CAMBODIAN AID

Sum Is \$90-Million Below: Administration's Request -- 'Armed Camp' Feared

PROPOSAL PASSES 10-3

A Limit of 150 on American Personnel Assigned to **Country Also Adopted**

> By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 13 The Senate Foreign Relations Committee voted today to impose a \$250-million ceiling on military and economic aid to the Cambodian Government in the current fiscal year.

The Administration has requested authority to spend \$341-million in Cambodia about \$200-million in military aid and the remainder in economic assistance.

An amendment incorporated into the Foreign Aid Authorization Bill by the committee would also limit to 150 the number of American civilian and military personnel assigned to Cambodia.

Administration Is Opposed

The committee amendment, co-sponsored by Senator Stuart Symington, Democrat of Missouri, and Senator Clifford P. Case, Republican of New Jersey-was adopted by a 10-3 vote over the opposition of the Administration, which warned that the limitations would undermine the Cambodian Government and endanger American troops as they are withdrawn from Vietnam.

In a letter to the committee, Under Secretary of State John N. Irwin said that the Administration's request was "the minimum which is essential to help Cambodians consolidate their independence."

The committee's action was prompted in part by the disclosure that the Joint Chiefs of Staff had recommended a longrange program that by 1977 would bring military aid to Cambodia to \$500-million, roughly double that now being spent.

The Joint Chiefs are reported to believe that increased military assistance to Cambodia is necessary to protect South Vietnam's western flank as American troops are withdrawn from Indochina. But to many members of the Senate committee, the proposal represents a growing American commitment to Cambodia similar to that set a decade ago in South Vietnam.

'Armed Camp' Foreseen

Senator Symington, in an interview, expressed fear that the real intention of the Joint Chiefs of Staff was to "shore up" the borders of Thailand by establishing positions of strength in Cambodia and Laos "where we could remain indefinitely."

Senator Case, in a statement, said that the recommendations of the Joint Chiefs "would entirely destroy Cambodia's own economy and turn that country into an armed camp, altogether dependent on United States dollars, arms and food for its continued existence."

He emphasized that the limitation on spending was a "holding action" designed to force a full-scale review of future American policy in Cambodia and said:

"We should go no further in increasing our commitment to Cambodiaat least not without a firm decision by Congress and the American people that an expanded war in Cambodia is the course our country should

In a statement on the Senate

is an admonition to curb the been easy outflow of the financial Vietnam." resources of the people of this resources of the people of this nation which, for years, has that "the trend of present Cambeen legislated in the name of bodian policy, insofar as I can

anything for the defense of this Cambodian people, who have military

floor, Senator Mike Mansfield, now been reduced to the comthe majority leader, said: mon denominator of the irrele-"The Cambodian experience vant devastation which has suffered in Laos

national defense and foreign see, runs strongly counter not see, runs strongly counter not only to the expressed inclinations of the hundreds of millions of the Congress but also to the Nixon doctrine which dollars already spent in a year was supposed to provide the and a half have done hardly guidelines of that policy."

nation except, perhaps, to the Government of Prince weaken it by wastage. Nor have these expenditures helped the United States conducted the United States and States are stated to the United States are stated to the Unite In the year and a half since the Government of Prince operations against Communist sanctuaries in Cambodia, he said, Cambodia has become "the enemy sanctuary," the nation is "being reduced to chaos and devastation," and American support has become "the sole significant prop which keeps the political - military structure in Pnompenh from falling apart."

"I sometimes wonder," he said, "how we let ourselves get involved in these travesties of foreign policy which, rather than serve the interests of this nation, give every appearance of being at complete odds with

those interests.'